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Site description:

Carved out by the Colorado River, the Grand Canyon (nearly 1,500 m deep) is the most spectacular gorge in the world. Located in the state of Arizona, it cuts across the Grand Canyon National Park. Its horizontal strata retrace the geological history of the past 2 billion years. There are also prehistoric traces of human adaptation to a particularly harsh environment.
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Good with some concerns

Overall the state of World Heritage values is stable or declining slightly, with some key areas of concern and potential deterioration in the next decade. These include: uranium mining; bison, elk, and non-native fish increases; development in the park at the Little Colorado River area and at Quartermaster Canyon; increases in overflights; reservoir equalization flows between Lake Powell and Lake Mead; management capacity; and major developments and groundwater extraction at Tusayan. Opportunities exist for correction of all of these threats. The populations trends of some species are in decline and are beginning to warrant concern that the park and surrounding areas will not eventually serve the needs of the Park. Key concerns as listed above include external tourism development, internal tourism development, management of the Colorado River, restoration of fire in a drought cycle, potential for mining, bison management, and funding for operations and infrastructure.

Current state and trend of VALUES

Low Concern
Trend: Stable

While there are a number of potentially significant threats to the long-term values of Grand Canyon National Park, the overall status as it relates to the time of inception shows general stability. Some significant resources along the Colorado River have continued to decline, but these values were heavily impacted at the time the park was designated and gained status as a World Heritage Site. Some of the key areas of concern include uranium mining, bison increases, development in the park at the Little Colorado area, increases in overflights, continued high equalization flows between Lake Powell and Lake Mead, lack of funding for staff and infrastructure, and major developments at Tusayan. The populations trends of some species are in decline and are beginning to warrant concern that the park and surrounding areas will not
eventually serve the needs of the Park. The trend is good, but not without concerns for changing trends over the next 10 years. Uncertainty exists over effects of climate change, invasive species, and drought-caused wildfires of increasing intensity will need future attention. Some concerns exist for vegetation in the face of climate change, deterioration of habitat for some species like humpback chub, and the invasion of Bison on the north rim. The status of more than 85 taxa in the Colorado River corridor has been questioned, with at least 14 vertebrate species extirpated there, as well as several other large wide-ranging vertebrates (including wolves, jaguar, and grizzly bear) from the middle and upper elevations of the Park. The park will have to have the resources to manage and intervene on key threats to insure the protection of the park into the future.

**Overall THREATS**

**High Threat**

Grand Canyon National Park is currently well managed by generally applied standards. The staff is dedicated and the park leadership is good. However, external development threats are significant, and the ability to meet and overcome these challenges is uncertain in the current political and financial environment. These concerns include uranium mining on the park boundary in its watershed, commercial development in the Little Colorado area in the park, development out side of the headquarters area, development, infrastructure and funding issues, operation of Glen Canyon dam, and the need to complete plans for significant resources like caves, backcountry and other resources. Future funding for park operations, research, and facility maintenance is also a concern. Like many areas the concern over climate change and exotic species are important things that will need addressing. Drought and the restoration of wildfire and the threat of major wildfire are also concerns. The park is taking steps to address climate and drought related concerns but resolution and costs are uncertain. Mining, grazing, timber harvesting and water withdrawal may degrade native plant communities, destroy wildlife habitat, interrupt migration corridors, and disturb wildlife breeding activities. There are significant management threats to the park that could present long-term degradation of this site. Ecological research shows significant impacts on the park from the construction and operation of Glen Canyon Dam. If the drought continues and water demand out of the park remains continued impacts will take place. This is
an ongoing threat to the long term health of the canyon ecosystem.

Overall PROTECTION and MANAGEMENT

Mostly Effective

Current management of the park is mostly effective in addressing issues that are of potential impact to the park. Park leadership is strong and staffing is adequate. Key areas of concern deal with future political support for managing threats like the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam, uranium development and development affecting park resources in the Little Colorado area. In addition the need to maintain infrastructure and operational staffing is an issue that will need to be addressed by national level policy makers.
FULL ASSESSMENT

Description of values

Values

World Heritage values

► One of the world's most visually powerful landscapes
   Criterion:(vii)

   Grand Canyon, nearly 1,500 meters deep, and 277 miles long, is the most spectacular gorge in the world. Located in the state of Arizona, it cuts across the Kaibab Plateau and has been preserved in part by the 1.2 million acre Grand Canyon National Park. Its horizontal strata retrace the geological history of the past 1.8 billion years. Nowhere else on earth is there the clearly exposed geology in such a magnificent landscape. The value of this natural feature is broad encompassing the most casual tourist and the most rigorous scientific interest (R1-R7).

► Geologic Features and Processes and record of the earths geologic history
   Criterion:(viii)

   Grand Canyon National Park preserves an iconic geologic landscape 1,840 to 270 million years old, including diverse paleontological resources; unconsolidated surface deposits; a complex tectonic and erosion history; and Pliocene to Holocene volcanic deposits. Within park boundaries, the geologic record spans all four eras of the earth's evolutionary history, from the Precambrian to the Cenozoic. The Precambrian and Paleozoic portions of this record are particularly well exposed in canyon walls and include a rich fossil assemblage. Numerous caves shelter fossils and animal remains that extend the paleontological record into the Pleistocene (Statement of Significance,
Superlative scenic beauty of the Colorado River
Criterion:(vii)

The Colorado River is one of America’s greatest rivers (Statement of Significance, 2006). It established its course through Grand Canyon within the last six million years, and likely evolved from pre-existing drainages to its current course. Geologic processes, including erosional processes on hill slopes and in tributaries, and active tectonism continue to shape the canyon today. The Colorado River in Grand Canyon provides a unique combination of thrilling whitewater adventure and magnificent vistas of a remarkable landscape. A river trip through Grand Canyon is one of the most sought-after wilderness experiences in the world, offering a 277-mile mix of placid smooth water and turbulent whitewater (R1-R7).

An exceptional example of biological environments at different elevations
Criterion:(ix)

Grand Canyon National Park possesses outstanding biological diversity and protects a large, relatively undeveloped 1,218,376 acres. Grand Canyon is an exceptional example of biological environments at different elevations that evolved as the river cut deeper portraying five of North America’s seven life zones within canyon walls. Flora and fauna species overlap in many of the zones and are found throughout the canyon (Statement of Significance, 2006).

The park’s great biological diversity includes three of North America’s four deserts, and five of Merriam’s seven life zones: from rim to river one encounters the Lower Sonoran, Upper Sonoran, Transition, Canadian and Hudsonian zones, the ecological equivalent of traveling from Mexico to Canada. Extreme elevation and topography contribute to a wide range of habitats.

An ecological refuge, with relatively undisturbed remnants of dwindling ecosystems and numerous endemic, rare or endangered species
Criterion:(x)
Grand Canyon National Park contains a superlative array of natural resources. Much of this diversity can be attributed to the park’s dramatic topographic spectrum. This stratigraphic variety provides microhabitats for natural processes supporting rare and endemic plant and wildlife species. These diverse habitats serve as a living laboratory for scientific research in numerous fields that contribute greatly to our understanding of the relationship between biotic communities and abiotic environments. The five life zones within the canyon are represented in a remarkably small geographic area. Grand Canyon National Park is an ecological refuge, with relatively undisturbed remnants of dwindling ecosystems (such as boreal forest and desert riparian communities), and numerous endemic, rare or endangered plant and animal species (Statement of Significance, 2006). The park is known to host 1,750 vascular plant species, 64 moss species, 195 lichen species, 167 fungi species, 377 bird species, 91 mammal species, 58 reptile and amphibian species, 22 fish species, more than 10,000 invertebrate species, and a number of Federally listed species and extirpated species including grizzly bear, black-footed ferret, gray wolf, jaguar, Bear Valley sandwort, Colorado pikeminnow, bonytail and roundtail chub, northern leopard frog, and southwestern river otter. The razorback sucker may be extirpated within park boundaries. 

Endangered / Threatened Animals: California condor, humpback chub, southwestern willow flycatcher, Mexican spotted owl, Kanab ambersnail, and desert tortoise. There are over 35 species of special concern and former USFWS Category 2 species. The Park supports populations of numerous endemic faunal taxa, including 1 dragonfly, 4 butterflies, 1 tiger beetle, 3 ground beetles, 1 robberfly, and several other species new to science. 

Plants: The sentry milk-vetch (Astragalus cremnophylax var.cremnophylax) is the only endangered plant in the park. There are no listed threatened plant species. Nine species of special concern (formerly category two species) are known, and 25 additional vascular plants are of management concern due to their limited distribution (R1-R7).
Assessment information

Threats

Current Threats

Low Threat

There are some significant threats to Grand Canyon that are currently being managed, however long term support in policy and financial resources will be required to ensure long term protection of the park.

▶ Dams/ Water Management or Use

High Threat

Ecological research conducted in the 1970s-1980s showed significant damage to Glen Canyon National Recreation Area (GLCA) and Grand Canyon National Park (GRCA) resources from construction and operation of Glen Canyon Dam. This includes beaches, archeological sites, fisheries, and riparian ecosystem impacts.

Prior the completion of Glen Canyon Dam in 1963, 8 native fish species were native to the Colorado River in Grand Canyon; since completion of the dam, 3 species have been extirpated, 2 are now listed as threatened and endangered, and 3 species are maintaining adequate populations.

Passage of the 1992 GCPA was intended to improve resource conditions of GLCA and GRCA through implementation of an adaptive management program. Over $150 million of research conducted since 1997 has shown the need for changes to dam operations to improve resource conditions as required by the GCPA.

Lack of Implementation of the 1992 Grand Canyon Protection Act has resulted in many park resources continuing to deteriorate downstream.
resources in Grand Canyon National Park (GRCA) or Glen Canyon National Recreation Area (GLCA). The National Park Service (NPS) recognizes the need for restorative flows for improving resources within GLCA and GRCA per the 1992 GCPA (R1-R7).

▶ **Housing/ Urban Areas**

**High Threat**

**Outside site**

A land development company is proposing to develop residential and commercial properties in Tusayan, adding approximately 2400 new housing units and over 3,000,000 square feet of commercial space.

Until recently, the water needs for the airport were mostly served by surface water accumulated in catch basins at the airport. Under recent direction from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the basins were removed, eliminating the airport’s surface water source and increasing dependence on a private water provider in Tusayan. The development of groundwater extraction well(s) that have the capacity to produce 30 gallons/minute (48 acre feet per year) and meet an expected increase from 10,000 gallons/day to 20,000 gallons/day has the potential to adversely impact spring flow and spring ecosystems along the South Rim of the Grand Canyon, especially between Havasu Creek and the Little Colorado River. The sum average base flow of all the named springs (8) between Indian Garden and Cottonwood Creek within the Park equals the 30 gallons/minute identified by the development (R1-R7).

▶ **Tourism/ Recreation Areas**

**High Threat**

**Inside site**

**Outside site**

Navajo Nation entered into a non-binding memorandum of agreement on February 21, 2012, with the Confluence Partners, LLC, a development group based in Scottsdale, Arizona, for the construction of a tourist destination near the confluence of the Colorado and Little Colorado rivers on the east rim of and within the Grand Canyon.

The agreement lists construction of a gondola, restaurant, amphitheater, half-mile river walk, resort hotel and spa, and RV park. Approximately 500 acres
of Navajo Nation rim land would be included, along with developments along
the floor of the canyon within Grand Canyon National Park (GRCA).
This development has the potential to have significant effects upon:
- NPS Proposed wilderness
- NPS Colorado River Management
- Conservation of endangered species
- Known sacred sites and Traditional Cultural Properties identified by multiple
  associated
- American Indian Tribes
- Restoration of natural quiet.
- The Hualapai Tribe on the southwestern corner of
  Grand Canyon operates a rim development and large helicopter tourism
  service in lower Grand Canyon. Visitation by air and boat in this reach has
  increased use intensity and decreased the wilderness values that the Park
  Service has tried to maintain (R1-R7).

▶ Other Ecosystem Modifications

High Threat
Outside site

Average visibility is well below the natural conditions target set by the Clean
Air Act. Ozone concentrations and exposure indices are surprisingly high for
such a remote area. Concentrations have not yet reached the EPA-
established level to protect human health, but have come very close. Long-
term monitoring revealed a steady rise in ozone concentrations through the
1990s. This trend leveled out well above natural levels in the early 2000s and
has not declined. Wet deposition of nitrates, ammonium, and sulfates has
risen; the increase is not statistically significant. Between the 1999 and 2001
growing seasons, a six percent increase in ultraviolet radiation was measured
in the park (R1-R7).

▶ Invasive Non-Native/ Alien Species

High Threat
Inside site
Outside site

Native species continue to decline and the introduction of non-native species
into the river below Glen Canyon Dam places pressure on the existing native
species, most importantly the humpback chub. In addition to losses of
endangered native fish, more than 10 other rare or listed vertebrate species have been extirpated from the Colorado River corridor (e.g., zebra-tailed lizard, southwestern willow flycatcher, Sonoran river otter, and badger) (R1-R7).

▶ Commercial/ Industrial Areas

Low Threat
Inside site
Outside site

Over flights for tourism continue to degrade the natural quiet of the park. A reasonable solution to this problem - managing this use, allowing it to continue and reducing impacts - has been put on hold by congress (R1-R7).

Potential Threats

High Threat

Uranium mining, air pollution, climate change, surrounding development, air tourism, exotic species, infrastructure and operational needs are all future concerns.

▶ Droughts

High Threat
Inside site
Outside site

Fire, as a natural process, was eliminated for most of the 20th Century, but is currently allowed in some park areas under restricted conditions, and in accordance with the 2010 Fire Management Plan. With the continued drought, the potential for warming and other regional conditions the restoration of fire is a major challenge. Also, invasion of non-native Bromus grasses along the Colorado River has greatly increased fire threats there. Fire was not a prominent process in the pre-dam river, but has become a critical danger in wide reaches (R1-R7).

▶ Hyper-Abundant Species

High Threat
Inside site

State of Arizona bison management policies have resulted in a 400% increase in bison residing within Grand Canyon National Park. These are non-native bison that also contain significant cattle genes. Impacts to native species and ecologically important springs are increasing and could threaten the park’s values on the North Rim. With no solution in the foreseeable feature and likely continued rapid growth of the bison population, this is an important emerging issue (R1-R7).

🔺 Hyper-Abundant Species

Low Threat
Inside site
Outside site

Introduced Rocky Mountain elk are abundant on the South Rim, and exert strong grazing impacts on forest and woodland vegetation, as well as personal and traffic hazards on tourists (R1-R7).

🔺 Invasive Non-Native/ Alien Species

High Threat
Inside site

The introduction of 19 non-native fish into the Colorado River poses major, long-lasting impacts on native fish populations. Salmonids, striped bass, catfish, and other taxa consume larval and juvenile native fish, while carp and several other exotic cyprinid species may outcompete native minnows and suckers (R1-R7).

🔺 Mining/ Quarrying

High Threat
Outside site

The current moratorium on some mining activities in the Grand Canyon watershed is currently in dispute in the court. Overturning of the moratorium could result in significant impacts to the park. Studies of ongoing mining are critical to understanding the nature of the threats. An interagency team from BLM, USFS, USGS, and NPS determined data needs, study costs estimates, and staffing for a management oversight team. Studies and analysis need to continue hydrogeology, threatened and endangered species, wildlife
movement corridors, soundscape, and ethnography, with results used to modify activities to eliminate threats to the park. The ROD cited several unknowns and uncertainties related to the effects of mining uranium in the region, especially due to existing and future mining related to valid existing rights.

Given the nature of the 20-year withdrawal and valid mining activity that would take place, the Secretary called for studies to address the unknowns and uncertainties cited in the 2010 USGS report. Currently multi-year funding for the studies is uncertain (R1-R7).

### Protection and management

#### Assessing Protection and Management

- **Research**
  - Mostly Effective

  A robust research program exists.

- **Relationships with local people**
  - Mostly Effective

  The park has a good program for maintaining relations with Native Americans, boundary issues and some conservation programs are not currently resolved and could cause impacts to values (R1-R7).

- **Legal framework and enforcement**
  - Mostly Effective

  The legal framework of parks within the National System is very strong, especially for threats within the boundaries of the parks. The NPS Act of 1916 and enabling legislation for the park are strong, as are the regulations found in the Code of Federal Regulations particularly in title 36. Mostly very well managed, key issues are boundaries, implementation of the Grand Canyon Protection Act, abilities to manage aircraft over flights and key external threats (R1-R7).
Integration into regional and national planning systems

Highly Effective

The planning program for the park is effective and integrated into the regional and national planning programs (R1-R7).

Management system

Mostly Effective

The park has a General Management Plan, numerous operational plans, is updating a backcountry management plan, and has a business management plan. The park has a good planning and environmental compliance division that is staffed by professionals and addresses planning and management strategy as needed. Funding and future capacity are potential issues (R1-R7).

Management effectiveness

Mostly Effective

Some areas of controversy like aircraft over flights have potential to diminish values, but these concerns are at the effectiveness of decision making at the political level, where congressional intervention on issues of over flights and other operations is always a concern. Site management at the Park Superintendent levels is doing an excellent job (R1-R7).

Implementation of Committee decisions and recommendations

Data Deficient

Not applicable

Boundaries

Some Concern

Threats of adjacent development such as mining, grazing, timber harvesting, and water withdrawal may degrade native plant communities, destroy wildlife habitat, interrupt migration corridors, and disturb wildlife breeding activities. Mining and commercial tourist development adjacent to park boundaries (R1-R7).
Sustainable finance

Serious Concern

This is a major concern for both staffing and infrastructure. NPS has recently quantified an annual Operation and Maintenance requirement of $35 million per year for the facility management operations. The park is only able to fund approximately 30% of this need through ONPS (Operation of the National Park System), reimbursement agreements and project funding, leaving an annual shortfall of approximately $25 million for FY 13. This shortfall is in addition to the $386 million identified in backlogged deferred maintenance. This lack of funding could threaten historic structures, conservation programs, visitor services and archeological sites in coming years (R1-R7).

Staff training and development

Highly Effective

A good program is in place; GRCA has about 500 employees in an array of conservation and protection fields. Training programs exist at all levels from entry level to management including in law enforcement, interpretation, resource management, cultural resource management, business management and park program management. The park has a reasonable budget for supplies, materials and operations. Continue budget cuts and backlog of facility maintenance could become a concern in future years (R1-R7).

Sustainable use

Mostly Effective

The park has made advancements in sustainability in the last 5 years with good plans and assessments in place (R1-R7).

Education and interpretation programs

Highly Effective

The park has recent quality programs for a variety of opportunities for a spectrum of visitors (R1-R7).
Tourism and interpretation
Highly Effective

Much improvement has taken place in this area over the last 6 years (R1-R7).

Monitoring
Mostly Effective

The science and resource division is well managed, the only concerns are future support and funding from DC (R1-R7).

Overall assessment of protection and management
Mostly Effective

Current management of the park is mostly effective in addressing issues that are of potential impact to the park. Park leadership is strong and staffing is adequate. Key areas of concern deal with future political support for managing threats like the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam, uranium development and development affecting park resources in the Little Colorado area. In addition the need to maintain infrastructure and operational staffing is an issue that will need to be addressed by national level policy makers.

Assessment of the effectiveness of protection and management in addressing threats outside the site
Some Concern

A number of key external potential threats including Development at the Little Colorado River, Over flights, Uranium Mining, Bison Management, and concerns with management of Glen Canyon Dam as well as problems of water management if drought continues could be difficult to manage. The park has articulated concerns and is addressing all of these issues but support will be needed to overcome threats in future years.

State and trend of values
Assessing the current state and trend of values

World Heritage values

▶ One of the world's most visually powerful landscapes
  Low Concern
  Trend: Stable

While some concerns exist over development, air quality and other activities, the key resources of the geologic landscape are currently protected (R1-R7).

▶ Geologic Features and Processes and record of the earths geologic history
  High Concern
  Trend: Deteriorating

Alteration of the Colorado River and lack of Implementation of significant conservation measures have seen some deterioration of resources since establishment as a World Heritage site but overall these processes remain largely intact. The installation of Glen Canyon Dam outside of the park dramatically altered the Colorado River. Sediment flow as primary geologic and ecological force was reduced severely, changing beaches, and changing the entire riparian system. The temperature of the river was also dramatically altered, extirpating and threatening native fish and leading to a great abundance of exotic fish in its place. Lack of periodic flooding has altered the riparian vegetation (R1-R7).

▶ Superlative scenic beauty of the Colorado River
  Good
  Trend: Stable

Superlative scenic beauty and unique natural process are generally intact as they were at the time of World Heritage designation (R1-R7).

▶ An exceptional example of biological environments at different elevations
  High Concern
  Trend: Deteriorating
Some concerns exist for vegetation in the face of climate change, deterioration of habitat for some species like humpback chub, and the invasion of Bison on the north rim. The status of more than 85 taxa in the Colorado River corridor has been questioned, with at least 14 vertebrate species extirpated there, as well as several other large wide-ranging vertebrates (including wolves, jaguar, and grizzly bear) from the middle and upper elevations of the Park. The park will have to have the resources to manage and intervene on key threats to insure the protection of the park into the future (R1-R7).

▶ An ecological refuge, with relatively undisturbed remnants of dwindling ecosystems and numerous endemic, rare or endangered species

High Concern
Trend: Stable

The health of life cycle processes varies among sensitive species (e.g., recent loss of breeding endangered southwestern willow flycatchers from the Park). Life zone distribution and responses to climate change require more active monitoring. Many relict and unique assemblages occur within the large altitudinal gradient of the Park, and many are not adequately monitored (R1-R7).

Summary of the Values

▶ Assessment of the current state and trend of World Heritage values

Low Concern
Trend: Stable

While there are a number of potentially significant threats to the long-term values of Grand Canyon National Park, the overall status as it relates to the time of inception shows general stability. Some significant resources along the Colorado River have continued to decline, but these values were heavily impacted at the time the park was designated and gained status as a World Heritage Site. Some of the key areas of concern include uranium mining, bison increases, development in the park at the Little Colorado area, increases in overflights, continued high equalization flows between Lake
Powell and Lake Mead, lack of funding for staff and infrastructure, and major developments at Tusayan. The populations trends of some species are in decline and are beginning to warrant concern that the park and surrounding areas will not eventually serve the needs of the Park. The trend is good, but not without concerns for changing trends over the next 10 years. Uncertainty exists over effects of climate change, invasive species, and drought-caused wildfires of increasing intensity will need future attention. Some concerns exist for vegetation in the face of climate change, deterioration of habitat for some species like humpback chub, and the invasion of Bison on the north rim. The status of more than 85 taxa in the Colorado River corridor has been questioned, with at least 14 vertebrate species extirpated there, as well as several other large wide-ranging vertebrates (including wolves, jaguar, and grizzly bear) from the middle and upper elevations of the Park. The park will have to have the resources to manage and intervene on key threats to insure the protection of the park into the future.

Key conservation issues

- **Proposed Escalade development within park boundaries**
  - National
  
  Development at the Confluence of the Little Colorado and Colorado within park have significant potential to damage park resources

- **Lack of funding for infrastructure and management capacity**
  - National
  
  Aging infrastructure constructed over the last 100 years needs significant improvement and is a considerable financial burden, this could affect key historic resources, visitor enjoyment and key park resources

- **Airport Expansion and expansion of development in the area of**
Tusayan
Local

Increased air traffic could affect visitor experience if not properly managed

▶ **Bison herd management on the North Rim, elk on the South Rim, nonnative fish and fish diseases in the Colorado River.**
Local

Increasing population of non-native bison on the north side of Grand Canyon could affect key park resources

▶ **Monitoring and management of the Uranium mining adjacent to the park**
National

Significant unknowns exist in affects of increased uranium mining on the park resources, research, monitoring and management actions will be needed to ensure long term health of resources

▶ **Management of water resources and cave systems**
National

Climate change, human activity and lack of research and monitoring could lead to impacts to key resources. Research and monitoring and planning will be needed to ensure long term protection of resources.

▶ **Management of native fisheries and endangered species**
National

Many issues present concern for park fisheries including operation of Glen Canyon Dam, climate change, uranium mining and other factors.

▶ **Resources to manage and prevent the spread of exotic and invasive vegetation**
Regional

Important native species threatened by non native invasion

---

**Benefits**
Understanding Benefits

- **Sacred natural sites or landscapes**
  
  Outstanding natural values including natural sounds, dark skies, clean air, relative solitude, and wilderness character. Over one-million acres of undeveloped backcountry, hundreds of trail miles, and 277 river miles (containing world-class white-water) provide tremendous opportunity for exploration, personal challenge, discovery, learning, social interaction, and/or solitude.

- **History and tradition**
  
  The preservation of the National Parks' scientific, natural and wilderness values are significant to contemporary relationship with eleven American Indian tribes have known ties to Grand Canyon, and some consider the canyon their original homeland and place of origin. The 11 Federally recognized associated tribes are: Havasupai Tribe, Hopi Tribe, Hualapai Tribe, Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians, Las Vegas Band of Paiute Indians, Moapa Band of Paiute Indians, Navajo Nation, Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, and Zuni Tribe.

- **Outdoor recreation and tourism**
  
  The 4.5 million visitors generate an estimate $750 million in total regional sales and close to 100 million in local sales.

- **Sacred natural sites or landscapes**
  
  Wilderness landscapes are an important current resource and future preserve. Park boundaries extend beyond canyon walls to include 1,904 square miles (1,218,376 acres) of which 94 percent is managed as wilderness. When combined with additional contiguous public and tribal lands, this area comprises one of the largest undeveloped areas in the U.S. Grand Canyon offers outstanding opportunities for visitor experiences including extended solitude, natural quiet, clean air, dark skies, and a sense of freedom from the mechanized world’s rigors.
Summary of benefits

Grand Canyon landscapes are an important current resource and future preserve. Park boundaries extend beyond canyon walls to include 1,904 square miles (1,218,376 acres) of which 94 percent is managed almost exclusively for natural and cultural values. When combined with additional contiguous public and tribal lands, this area comprises one of the largest undeveloped areas in solitude, natural quiet, clean air, dark skies, and a sense of freedom from the mechanized world’s rigors. It also offers a refuge and preserved landscape that protects unique scientific values, incredible natural history and geologic landform and unique educational opportunities. It is a unique place for inspiration and connection with World Heritage values. The U.S. Grand Canyon offers outstanding opportunities for visitor experiences including hundreds of trail miles, and 277 river miles.. The regional economic benefits are great and tourism is steady or increasing slightly. The 4.5 million visitors generate an estimate $750 million in total regional sales and close to 100 million in local sales.

Projects

Compilation of active conservation projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Organization/individuals</th>
<th>Project duration</th>
<th>Brief description of Active Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Grand Canyon Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td>Park policy support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grand Canyon River Guides</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandbar monitoring; interpretation of Park values to the public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NPS and partners in water resources and watershed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Resources Plan, potential hazards from uranium mining adjacent to park, development of water resources strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Park Facilities management, concessioners and cooperators</td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop facility management strategy with potential funding remedies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grand Canyon Wildlands Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inventory of springs, biota, and management project success</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Compilation of potential site needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Site need title</th>
<th>Brief description of potential site needs</th>
<th>Support needed for following years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NPS and cooperators in Biodiversity and Climate change</td>
<td>Integrated Pest Management Plan Nonnative Animal Management Plan Climate Change Scenario planning and resource stewardship strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NPS and cooperators in visitor management</td>
<td>Backcountry Management Plan Overflights Management Plan Stock Use Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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